

**DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPARATIVE THERMAL ANALYSIS OF SOLAR DRYERS  
FOR DRYING CASSAVA USING DIRECT SUN DRYING, INDIRECT AND GREENHOUSE  
METHODS**

**PREPARED BY**

**HANAFI ROFIAT OPEYEMI  
HND/23/SLT/FT/0028**

**SUBMITTED TO THE**

**DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE LABORATORY TECHNOLOGY (PHYSICS AND ELECTRONICS  
UNIT), INSTITUTE OF APPLIED SCIENCES, KWARA STATE POLYTECHNIC, ILORIN**

**IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT FOR THE AWARD OF HIGHER NATIONAL DIPLOMA (HND) IN  
SCIENCE LABORATORY TECHNOLOGY**

**SUPERVISED BY:**

**DR. OLAORE K.O.**

**2024/2025 SESSION**

## CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that this project work has been written by **HANAFI ROFIAT OPEY EMI** with matric numbers **HND/23/SLT/FT/0028** and has been read and approved as meeting the parts of the requirements for the award of Higher National Diploma (HND) in Science Laboratory technology Department (Physics and Electronics Unit), Institute of Applied Sciences, Kwara State Polytechnic.

---

**DR.OLAOREK.O.**  
(ProjectSupervisor)

---

**DATE**

---

**MRSALAHU BASHIR**  
(Headof Unit)

---

**DATE**

---

**DR.USMANA.**  
(Headof Department)

---

**DATE**

---

**EXTERNALEXAMINER**

---

**DATE**

## DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to Almighty God, for his grace, mercy and guidance over me before, during and after the completion of my academic pursuit. All Glory to God and me Supervisor (**Dr. Olaore K. O.**) also to my parent, and friends who have never failed to give us financial and moral support for all our needs during the time we developed our systems and for teaching me that even the largest task can be accomplished if it is done one step at a time.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I express our sincere gratitude to God Almighty for the success of this project. I also express my sincere gratitude to my parents, my siblings and friends for supporting me through the cause of this project. My gratitude to **Dr. Olaore K.O.** for his time and supporting in monitoring and supervising our through this project. Thank you for shaping this project and enhancing my learning experience.

Futhermore, my special thanks goes to the Head of Department of Science Laboratory Technology **Dr. Usman A.** and my Head of Physics and Electronics Unit **Mr. Salahu Bashir** for been there for me all in time.

May God bless and keep you all (amen).

## TABLE OF CONTENT

TitlePage	i
Certification	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Table OfConent	v
List ofTables	vi
List ofFigures	vii
Abstract	viii

### CHAPTERONE

Introduction	1
BackgroundOfThe	1
ProblemStatement	5
AimoftheStudy	7
Objectivesof theStudy	7
Scopeofthe Study	7
Justificationof theStudy	8

### CHAPTERTWO

LiteratureReview	10
SolarDrying Technology	24
Classificationof SolarDryers	26
PassiveSolarDryers	26
ActiveSolarDryers	29

Working Principle and physics of Indirect Solar Dryer	31
Working Principle and Physics of Greenhouse Solar Dryer	38
Working Principle of a Hybrid Solar Dryer	41
Design Considerations for the Solar Dryers	43
Material Selection and Durability in Solar Dryer Fabrication	45

### CHAPTER THREE

Materials And Method	47
Materials	47
Direct Sun Drying System	47
Indirect Solar Dryer	47
High Density Fibreboard (HDF)	47
Transparent Cover	48
Collector	49
Collator Casing Insulation (Fibre)	50
Drying Trays	51
Supporting Frames	51
The Absorber Plate	52
Aluminium Angle Iron	53
Automobile Black Paint	54
Greenhouse	54
Data Collection	55
Construction Process	57
Experimental	61
Performance Evaluation	64

Determination of Moisture Content Reduction of the sample (cassava)-----	64
Determination of Drying Rate of the sample (cassava)-----	64
Determination of Thermal Efficiency-----	65
Sample Calculations Using Experimental Data (Day1 -Day6)-----	65

## CHAPTER FOUR

4.0 Results And Discussion-----	78
---------------------------------	----

## CHAPTER FIVE

5.0 Conclusion-----	95
Recommendation-----	95
Reference-----	97
Appendix A-----	105
Appendix B-----	117

## ***LIST OF TABLES***

*Table 4.1: Tabulated readings for Day1*

*Table 4.2: Tabulated readings for Day2*

*Table 4.3: Tabulated readings for Day3*

*Table 4.4: Tabulated readings for Day4*

*Table 4.5: Tabulated readings for Day5*

*Table 4.6: Tabulated readings for Day6*

*Table 4.7: Tabulated readings for Drying Rate & Moisture Content (Day 1)*

*Table 4.8: Tabulated readings for Drying Rate & Moisture Content (Day 2)*

*Table 4.9: Tabulated readings for Drying Rate & Moisture Content (Day 3) Table 4.10: Tabulated readings for Drying Rate & Moisture Content (Day 4) Table 4.11: Tabulated readings for Drying Rate & Moisture Content (Day 5)*

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

*Figure 2.1: Total horizontal solar insolation for some developing countries Figure 2.2.1.1: Layout of a Direct Passive Solar Dryer*

*Figure 2.2.1.2 : Layout of an Indirect Passive Solar Dryer*

*Figure 2.2.2.1: Layout of an Indirect Active Solar Dryer system*

*Figure 2.2.2.2: Layout of Hybrid Solar Dryer*

*Figure 2.3.1: Indirect Solar Dryer with Backup System*

*Figure 2.3.2: Basic Indirect Solar Dryer (Without Backup System)*

*Figure 2.4.1: Working Principle of a Greenhouse Solar Dryer*

*Figure 2.5.1: Working Principle of a Hybrid Solar Dryer*

*Fig. 3.1.2.1: High Density Fibreboard Fig. 3.1.2.*

*3: Collector Casing*

*Fig. 3.1.2.4: Collector Casing Insulation (Fibre )*

*Fig. 3.1.2.5: Drying Trays Fig. 3.1.*

*2.6: Supporting Frames Fig.3.1.*

*2.7:TheAbsorberPlates*

*Fig.3.1.2.7: The Absorber Plates*

*Figure3.2.1 Digital Multimeter with sensory probe*

*Figure 3.2.2 Digital Hygrometer*

*Figure3.2.3 Solar Radiation Meter (Pyranometer)*

*Figure 3.2.4 Digital Weighing Scale*

*Figure3.3.1 during welding process*

*Figure3.3.2 framework of the indirect solar dryer*

*Figure 3.3.3 Complete construction of the indirect solar dryer*

*Figure 3.3.4 Complete construction of the greenhouse solar dryer*

*Figure3.4.1: Cassava in the tray placed inside Indirect Solar Dryer*

*Figure 3.4.2: Tray placed inside Greenhouse Solar Dryer*

*Figure3.4.3: Tray placed under Open Sun Dryer*

*Figure4.1. Variation of temperature and solar radiation against time (day1)*

*Figure4.3. Variation of temperature and solar radiation against time (day2)*

*Figure4.3. Variation of temperature and solar radiation against time (day3)*

*Figure4.4. Variation of temperature and solar radiation against time (day4)*

*Figure4.5. Variation of temperature and solar radiation against time (day5)*

*Figure4.6. Variation of temperature and solar radiation against time (day6)*

*Figure 4.7.1 Variation of drying rate against time (day 1)*

*Figure4.7.2 Variation of moisture content against time (day1)*

*Figure 4.8.1 Variation of drying rate against time(day 2) F*

*igure4.8.2Variationofmoisturecontentagainsttime(day2)*

*Figure 4.9.1 Variation of drying rate against time(day 3) Fi*

*gure 4.9.2 Variation of moisture content against time(day*

*3) Figure 4.10.1 Variation of drying rate against time(day*

*4) Figure4.10.2Variationofmoisturecontentagainsttime(d*

*ay4) Figure 4.11.1 Variation of drying rate against time(da*

*y 5) Figure4.11.2Variationofmoisturecontentagainsttime*

*(day5)*

## ABSTRACT

*This study presents the development and evaluation of innovative solar drying systems designed to utilize solar energy for the effective drying of agricultural products, particularly cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz), thereby minimizing post-harvest losses in Nigeria. Traditional sundrying techniques face significant challenges, including direct exposure to varying weather conditions, susceptibility to pests and rodents, inadequate monitoring facilities, and the prohibitive costs associated with mechanical drying technologies. To address these challenges, two distinct solar drying systems, an indirect solar dryer and a greenhouse solar dryer, were conceptualized, fabricated, and assessed for their drying performance. The design of both dryers employed locally sourced materials, ensuring cost-effectiveness and suitability for rural applications. The indirect solar dryer was constructed with dimensions of 1.23m × 0.57m × 0.21m for the solar collector and a drying chamber measuring 0.85m × 0.525m. In contrast, the greenhouse solar dryer was larger, with dimensions of 1.545m × 1.045m × 1m. This configuration included a raised convection head and a collector plate with dimensions of 1.49m × 1.02 m to enhance air circulation and drying efficiency. The primary objective of this research was to determine the most effective drying methodology, focusing on key performance parameters such as solar radiation incident on the collector, ambient and chamber temperatures, humidity levels, moisture content of the dried product, and overall drying rates. The drying process was systematically implemented, starting at 8:00 AM and concluding at 4:00 PM each day, over three consecutive days. Results from the drying experiments indicated that the greenhouse solar dryer significantly decreased the moisture content of cassava from an initial value of approximately 79% to a final value of 5%. Meanwhile, the indirect solar dryer showed a comparable reduction, decreasing moisture from 81% to 6%. In contrast, open sundrying, despite achieving a reduction from 95% to 5% over two days, demonstrated considerable quality degradation and risk of contamination due to environmental exposure. The greenhouse dryer recorded peak chamber temperatures reaching 53.6°C, while the indirect solar dryer achieved even higher temperatures of up to 86.1°C in the collector. These temperatures were substantially above the ambient range of 25.2°C to 39.2°C, showcasing the enhanced heating capability of the solar drying systems. The findings of this study highlight the efficacy of solar drying technologies as viable, high-quality alternatives for dehydrating agricultural products, particularly in regions where significant post-harvest losses occur.*

sses occur. The advantages of both the indirect and greenhouse solar dryers offer promising solutions tailored to local conditions and resources. Future research is encouraged to focus on optimizing these

*drying processes for improved efficiency and product quality across diverse climatic environments, thereby supporting sustainable agricultural practices and food security initiatives.*

## CHAPTER ONE

### INTRODUCTION

#### **Background of the Study**

Agriculture comprises a significant portion of the economies in most African nations, employing 80-90% of the workforce. Despite this extensive involvement in agriculture, food production continues to fall short of meeting the country's demands. A crucial factor contributing to this shortfall is the inadequate preservation and storage systems, resulting in substantial food losses and a pronounced decline in food supply. The challenges posed by crop failures and considerable seasonal fluctuations in food availability can be mitigated through effective food conservation techniques, such as drying. Sun drying is notably the predominant method utilized for food preservation across numerous African countries, attributed to the region's high levels of solar irradiance prevailing for most of the year. However, traditional sun drying methods such as spreading crops on mats, trays, or paved surfaces and exposing them to sunlight and wind have inherent drawbacks. These include the potential for contamination from dust and insects, enzymatic degradation, and microbial infections, which can adversely affect food quality (Ekechukwu and Norton 1999). Furthermore, the traditional process is labor-intensive and time-consuming, requiring crops to be covered at night and during inclement weather, while also necessitating constant vigilance to protect against domestic animals. Inadequate and inconsistent drying results in further crop deterioration during storage, particularly problematic in humid tropical areas where certain crops must be dried during the rainy season. To secure a reliable food supply for the burgeoning population and enable farmers to produce high-quality, marketable products, the development of efficient and cost-effective drying methods is essential. Research indicates that even small-scale, oil-fired batch dryers are not feasible for most farmers due to financial constraints and limited

access to energy required for their operation. The high-temperature dryers employed in industrialized nations are economically viable primarily on large plantations or within extensive commercial enterprises. Consequently, the introduction of low-cost, locally

manufactured solar dryers presents a viable alternative to significantly reduce post-harvest losses. Producing high-quality marketable goods not only enhances product value but also offers farmers an opportunity to improve their economic circumstances. However, the high initial investment associated with solar dryers remains a formidable obstacle for widespread adoption, given the limited income among rural populations in developing regions (Forson *et al* 2007). The drying process is critical for the preservation of various materials, as it facilitates the evaporation of water or solvents, thereby reducing weight and volume while enhancing product stability and quality. Drying relies on two principal mechanisms of energy transfer: heat transfer, which elevates the temperature of the product to facilitate evaporation, and mass transfer, which enables moisture to migrate from the product's interior to its surface and subsequently into the surrounding air. Thermal energy, which governs the temperature within a drying system, can be explored within the realm of thermodynamics, focusing on energy transformations in closed systems. This concept encompasses several definitions, including energy at a particulate level, heat transfer, and internal energy or enthalpy. Within rural contexts, sun drying remains a commonplace yet laborious method that risks compromising product quality due to exposure to environmental contaminants. While alternatives like hot air drying can yield superior results, they typically demand significant energy inputs and financial investment, accounting for a considerable portion of industrial energy consumption in developed nations. Solar energy, however, offers a vast and largely untapped potential for drying applications, although challenges in energy capture and storage remain. Historically, sun drying has been widely practiced; however, the industrial demand for more controlled drying processes has surged in 21<sup>st</sup>-century agriculture, emphasizing the need to maintain product quality (Khouya *et al* 2017).

To improve drying efficiency and product quality, solar dryers have been developed

d and promoted as sustainable alternatives to traditional methods. These systems utilize solar radiation, a freely available and renewable energy source, to dry crops in a more controlled and hygienic environment. There are three major types of solar dryers: open sun (direct

exposure), indirect solar dryers, and greenhouse solar dryers. Each offers varying levels of efficiency, cost, and technical complexity.

Open sun drying exposes agricultural products to environmental elements such as dust, wind, insects, and rainfall, leading to contamination, nutrient loss, and uneven drying (Olayanju et al., 2019). Inconsistent weather conditions further prolong drying times and increase the risk of microbial spoilage. Solar drying technologies have emerged as efficient, sustainable, and hygienic alternatives to traditional open drying (Esper & Muhlbauer, 1996; Janjai, 2012). These technologies harness solar radiation more effectively by controlling the heat transfer process in semi-enclosed environments. They not only improve drying speed but also help retain nutritional quality, prevent contamination, and enhance the visual and sensory attributes of food products (Bala & Mondol, 2001).

Among the types of solar dryers, direct, indirect, and greenhouse dryers have received considerable attention. Each system offers unique structural and operational benefits that affect drying performance and product quality (Forson et al., 2007).

Direct solar dryers allow sunlight to directly contact the food material within a closed transparent box, leading to high drying temperatures but exposing products to potential UV degradation. Indirect solar dryers, in contrast, channel heated air from a solar collector into a separate drying chamber, which protects the product from direct light, allows better temperature regulation, and reduces the risk of oxidative damage (Tiwari et al., 2016).

Greenhouse solar dryers take advantage of the greenhouse effect, using transparent materials to trap solar radiation within a larger enclosure. These systems comb

ine the advantages of both direct and indirect drying while offering better airflow and thermal retention(Sharmaetal.,2009).Theirdesignallowsforbulkdryingofhigh-moisturecrops underrelativelystablethermal conditions, even duringintermittent solar radiation. Dueto

their semi-permanent structure and high thermal efficiency, greenhouse dryers are increasingly adopted for drying perishable produce such as tomatoes, cassava, okra, and pepper (El-Sebaei & Shalaby, 2012; Khouya et al., 2017).

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) stands out as a highly valued agricultural commodity, accounting for approximately 40% of the dietary intake in many developing countries across Africa, Latin America, and Asia (FAO, 2019). The roots of cassava possess a dry matter content of about 30-40%, primarily composed of starch, sugar, and vitamin C, making it integral for animal feed and industrial raw materials. Cassava is predominantly cultivated in the lowland tropical regions along the equatorial belt, bounded by latitudes 30°N and 30°S, and thrives at elevations below 2000m, with annual rainfall ranging from 200 to 2000 mm (RMRDC, 2004). Notably, Nigeria is the world's largest producer of cassava, contributing over 70% of total production in West Africa and approximately 40% of global output (Deepak and Behura 2025). Cassava chips, which are irregularly sliced dried pieces of cassava, typically measure no more than 5-6 cm in length (Ky et al. 2021), while cassava flour represents the most common form in which dried cassava roots are marketed, with exporting countries predominantly producing it.

The drying process for cassava is not merely a preservation technique; it is a crucial step in transforming cassava into safe, storable, and transportable forms. Poor drying practices can lead to mold growth, cyanide retention, and economic loss. Furthermore, inefficient drying contributes to greenhouse gas emissions, as farmers sometimes resort to fuelwood-based methods during the rainy season (Mahmoud et al 2019).

In response to these challenges, this project seeks to contribute practical and sustainable solutions by focusing on the design and construction of an indirect solar dryer, specifically tailored to cassava drying in local conditions. It further conducts a comp

comparative thermal analysis between:

- the constructed indirect solar dryer,
- an existing greenhouse solar dryer, and

- the conventional open sundrying method.

The study involves the measurement of solar radiation, drying chamber temperature, ambient temperature, and cassava weight loss over time. These data sets are used to calculate drying rates, moisture loss, and thermal performance, providing an objective basis for comparing the effectiveness of each drying method (Mohammed *et al*/2020).

Beyond its technical objectives, this research aligns with broader global goals:

- It supports climate-resilient agriculture by promoting clean energy solutions.
- It contributes to Goal 2 (Zero Hunger), Goal 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy), and Goal 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production) of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).
- It empowers rural farmers with accessible technologies that improve product quality, reduce waste, and enhance income.

The project also draws on key principles of physics, especially in thermodynamics (heat and energy transfer), fluid dynamics (air flow through the drying system), and solar energy engineering. By applying these concepts to real-world agricultural problems, the study bridges theoretical knowledge and practical innovation.

In summary, the development and analysis of solar dryers in this project aim to advance low-cost, sustainable, and efficient methods for cassava preservation, thereby helping rural communities adapt to modern agricultural demands while preserving traditional crops through science-backed solutions.

### **Problem Statement**

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz), though highly valuable and widely consumed, remains one of the most perishable staple crops in Nigeria and across sub-Saharan Africa. Within 48–72 hours after harvest, cassava roots begin to deteriorate rapidly due to microbial activity, enzymatic breakdown, and moisture loss. As a result, a si

gnificant portion of the harvested cassava is lost before it can be processed, stored, or sold which then contributes