



**SCREENING AND ISOLATION OF POTENTIAL ANTIBIOTIC-PRODUCING BACTERIA FROM WATER BODY SAMPLES IN KWARA STATE POLYTECHNIC, ILORIN**

**N**

**BY**

**OLADOKUN MARIAM CYNTHIA**

**ND/23/SLT/PT/0825**

**A RESEARCH PROJECT SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE LABORATORY TECHNOLOGY, INSTITUTE OF APPLIED SCIENCES (IAS), KWARA STATE POLYTECHNIC, ILORIN.**

**IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF NATIONAL DIPLOMA (ND) IN SCIENCE LABORATORY TECHNOLOGY**



**JUNE, 2025.**



## CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that this project research is the original work carried out and reported by **ND/23/SLT/PT/0825** to the Department Of Science Laboratory Technology (SLT), Institute of Applied Sciences (IAS), Kwara State Polytechnic, Ilorin and it has been Approved in Partial fulfillment of the Requirement for the Award of National Diploma (ND) in Science Laboratory Technology (SLT).

\_\_\_\_\_  
MISS. AHMED K. H.  
(PROJECT SUPERVISOR)

\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE

\_\_\_\_\_  
MR. LUKMAN I.A.  
(SLT PART-TIME COORDINATOR)

\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE

\_\_\_\_\_

**DR. ABDULKAREEM USMAN**

**(HEAD OF DEPARTMENT)**

**DATE**

## **DEDICATION**

This project report is dedicated to Almighty God who gave me the privilege to start and complete the research work. May He forever be praised.



## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Immeasurable thanks are due to Almighty God, who has counted me worthy of those that will undergo the program and for seeing me through successfully.

I appreciate my humble supervisor, Miss. Ahmed, K. H., whose love, support and advice has contributed immensely to the success of this project. May God bless you abundantly. I also appreciate the PT Coordinator, MR. LUKMAN I.A. for his support and advice. God bless you sir. My appreciation also goes to the whole staff of Science Laboratory Technology for their effectiveness and support.

My special appreciation goes to my incomparable, loving, caring and understanding parents for their moral, financial, social and spiritual support, I will always be grateful to you. May Almighty God bless you in all your endeavors. And may you live long to reap the fruits of your labour. (Amen)

My appreciation also goes to my friends and relatives, God bless you all.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<a href="#">TITLE PAGE</a> .....	i
<a href="#">CERTIFICATION</a> .....	ii
<a href="#">DEDICATION</a> .....	iii
<a href="#">ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</a> .....	iv
<a href="#">TABLE OF CONTENTS</a> .....	v
<a href="#">ABSTRACT</a> .....	vii
<a href="#">CHAPTER ONE</a> .....	1
<a href="#">1.1 INTRODUCTION AND LITERAURE REVIEW</a> .....	1
<a href="#">1.2 STATEMENT OF PROBLEM</a> .....	7
<a href="#">1.3 AIM AND OBJECTIVE</a> .....	8
<a href="#">CHAPTER TWO</a> .....	9
<a href="#">MATERIALS AND METHODS</a> .....	9
2.1 COLLECTION OF WATER SAMPLES.....	9
2.2 DETERMINATION OF WATER SAMPLES PHYSICOCHEMICAL PARAMETER S.....	9
2.3 TEST ORGANISMS.....	9
2.4 ISOLATION OF BACTERIA WIH ANTIBIOTIC ACTIVIT Y.....	10
2.5 IDENTIFICATION OF THE BACTERIAL ISOLATES WITH ANTIBIOTIC ACTIVIT	



Y .....10

[CHAPTER THREE](#) .....14

[3.0 RESULT](#) .....14

[CHAPTER FOUR](#) .....20

[4.1 DISCUSSION](#) .....20

[4.2 RECOMMENDATIONS](#) .....22

4.3 CONCLUSION .....23

[REFERENCES](#) .....24

## ABSTRACT

*The escalating threat of multidrug-resistant bacteria demands exploration of new antimicrobial sources. This study screened and isolated antibiotic-producing bacteria from water samples collected at a dam within Kwara State Polytechnic, Ilorin. Total viable bacterial counts ranged from  $4.1 \times 10^5$  to  $19.9 \times 10^5$  cfu/g, with Sample C exhibiting the highest microbial load. Bacterial isolates were identified through Gram staining and biochemical tests, and their antimicrobial activities were evaluated against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. Among four isolates tested, *Bacillus* spp. demonstrated the strongest inhibition against *E. coli* (17 mm zone of inhibition) and moderate activity against *S. aureus* (6 mm). *Proteus* spp. and *Micrococcus* spp. showed smaller zones of inhibition, while *Pseudomonas* spp. exhibited selective activity against *S. aureus* (7 mm). These results confirm that freshwater environments harbor diverse antibiotic-producing bacteria with promising bioactivity against clinically relevant pathogens. This study underscores the potential of local aquatic microbial communities as reservoirs for novel antimicrobial agents to address antimicrobial resistance.*



## CHAPTER ONE

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Introduction and Literature Review

The relentless rise of antibiotic resistance represents a critical global health crisis, undermining our ability to treat common infections and threatening to reverse decades of medical progress (World Health Organization, 2020). The widespread and often indiscriminate use of antibiotics in human and animal health has driven the selection and proliferation of bacteria that have developed sophisticated mechanisms to evade the effects of these life-saving drugs (Aleksun & Levy, 2020). This surge in multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) is associated with increased morbidity, mortality, prolonged hospital stays, and escalating healthcare costs, posing a significant burden on healthcare systems worldwide (O'Neill, 2016).

In the face of this escalating crisis, the discovery and development of novel antimicrobial agents have become a paramount priority. However, the pace of new antibiotic discovery has significantly slowed in recent decades, creating a widening gap between the emergence of resistance and the availability of effective treatments (Bush et al., 2020). This "antibiotic discovery void" necessitates the exploration of unconventional sources for novel antimicrobial compounds and alternative therapeutic strategies (Lewis, 2020).

Natural environments, particularly those teeming with microbial diversity, represen

t a rich reservoir of potentially novel bioactive compounds, including antibiotics (Baltz, 2019). Bacteria, fungi, and other microorganisms have evolved intricate mechanisms for survival and competition within their ecological niches, often involving the production of secondary metabolites with antimicrobial properties (Davies & Davies, 2010). Aquatic environments, with their diverse physicochemical conditions and microbial communities, are particularly promising sources for bioprospecting efforts (Goecke et al., 2020). The unique selective pressures within these environments may have driven the evolution of novel metabolic pathways and the production of unique antimicrobial compounds.

Exploring indigenous microbial resources holds significant advantages, as locally adapted microorganisms may produce compounds effective against locally prevalent pathogens (Okeke et al., 2005).

## **1.2 Literature Review**

The mechanisms of antibiotic resistance are diverse and include enzymatic inactivation of the drug (e.g., beta-lactamases), modification of the target site (e.g., mutations in ribosomal proteins), reduced permeability of the bacterial cell wall, and active efflux of the antibiotic (Munita & Arias, 2016). The horizontal transfer of resistance genes via plasmids, transposons, and bacteriophages further accelerates the spread of resistance among bacterial populations (Carattoli, 2013). The emergence of MDROs, resistant to multiple classes of antibiotics, poses a significant threat to clinical practice, leaving few or no effective treatment options for serious in

fections (Tacconelli et al., 2018). Aquatic environments harbor a vast and largely untapped diversity of microbial life, encompassing a wide range of bacterial phyla, including Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Firmicutes (Zhi et al., 2020). These microbial communities engage in complex interactions, including competition for resources, which can drive the production of secondary metabolites with antimicrobial activities as a form of chemical warfare (Cordova et al., 2021). Historically, many clinically important antibiotics, such as penicillin and tetracycline, were originally discovered from environmental microorganisms, particularly water-dwelling Actinomycetes (Watve et al., 2001). However, aquatic environments, with their unique ecological niches and selective pressures (e.g., salinity gradients, nutrient availability, pollution), offer the potential to discover novel microorganisms producing structurally diverse and potentially more effective antimicrobial compounds (Fenical & Jensen, 2006; Bhatnagar & Kim, 2010). Recent bioprospecting efforts have increasingly focused on underexplored aquatic habitats, including deep-sea sediments, hydrothermal vents, and even freshwater ecosystems, yielding promising results in the search for novel bioactive molecules (Romanenko et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2023).

Bacterial antibiotics belong to diverse structural classes, including beta-lactams, aminoglycosides, tetracyclines, macrolides, and quinolones, each with a distinct mechanism of action targeting essential bacterial processes such as cell wall synthesis, protein synthesis, DNA replication, and metabolic pathways (Silver, 2011).

The production of these secondary metabolites is often regulated by complex genetic networks and is influenced by environmental factors such as nutrient availability, pH, temperature, and the presence of signaling molecules (Davies, 2006). Antibiotic biosynthesis genes are often clustered together on bacterial chromosomes or plasmids, facilitating their coordinated expression and potential for horizontal gene transfer (Demain & Fang, 2000). Recent research continues to unravel the intricate regulatory mechanisms governing antibiotic production in various bacterial species and explores strategies to enhance their yield through genetic engineering and optimization of culture conditions (van Wezel et al., 2020; Medema et al., 2021).

Primary screening methods, such as the cross-streak assay and agar well diffusion assay, are widely used for the rapid identification of microorganisms with antimicrobial activity. The cross-streak assay involves the direct co-culture of a test isolate and an indicator strain on an agar plate, allowing for the visual detection of zones of inhibition. The agar well diffusion assay involves the application of cell-free supernatants of test isolates to wells in agar plates seeded with indicator strains, and the subsequent measurement of the resulting zones of inhibition (Balouiri et al., 2016). Secondary screening methods involve the further characterization of the antimicrobial activity, including determining the spectrum of activity, minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC). Advancements in high-throughput screening technologies and automation have ac

celerated the screening process, allowing for the evaluation of large microbial libraries (Glukhov et al., 2020). Challenges in antibiotic screening include the rediscovery of known compounds and the need for sensitive and robust assays that can detect novel mechanisms of action (Lewis, 2013).

The search for novel antimicrobial compounds has increasingly turned towards underexplored ecological niches, with aquatic environments emerging as particularly promising frontiers. Water bodies, ranging from vast oceans and deep-sea trenches to freshwater rivers, lakes, ponds, and even human-impacted environments like wastewater treatment plants, harbor an extraordinary and largely unexplored microbial diversity (Dong et al., 2021). It is estimated that a significant proportion of microbial species in these environments remain uncultured and uncharacterized, representing a vast untapped resource for novel bioactive molecules (Al-Dhabi et al., 2021).

The unique physicochemical conditions and intense ecological pressures within aquatic ecosystems drive the evolution of diverse metabolic strategies among resident microorganisms. In these highly competitive environments, microbes frequently produce secondary metabolites, including antibiotics, to inhibit the growth of competitors, defend against predators, or facilitate communication (Newman & Cragg, 2020). These compounds often exhibit novel chemical structures and mechanisms of action, making them attractive candidates for new drug development.



Numerous recent studies have highlighted the potential of water bodies as sources of antibiotic-producing bacteria. Freshwater Environments such as rivers, lakes, and ponds are dynamic ecosystems influenced by terrestrial runoff and aquatic biota. Studies have successfully isolated antibiotic-producing bacteria from freshwater sediments and water columns. For instance, Das et al. (2020) isolated various bacterial strains, including species of *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas*, from freshwater sediments, demonstrating antimicrobial activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative pathogens. Similarly, research on lake water samples has identified *Actinobacteria* and other bacterial phyla producing compounds active against common clinical isolates (Wang et al., 2021). These findings suggest that freshwater systems, often overlooked in favor of marine environments, hold significant promise.

Marine ecosystems, covering over 70% of the Earth's surface, are renowned for their extreme conditions and unique biodiversity. Marine microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, and actinomycetes, have evolved distinct metabolic pathways to adapt to high salinity, pressure, and temperature variations, leading to the biosynthesis of structurally diverse and biologically active compounds (Al-Dhabi et al., 2021). Recent discoveries from marine bacteria include novel polyketides, peptides, and alkaloids with potent antimicrobial, antiviral, and anticancer properties (Zhang et al., 2023). Deep-sea sediments, sponges, and corals are particularly rich sources of novel marine microbial strains that produce a wide array of secondary metab

olites (Li et al., 2022).

While often associated with pollution, wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) and their associated sediments represent unique microbial ecosystems under constant anthropogenic pressure, including exposure to various chemicals and low concentrations of antibiotics. This selective pressure can drive the evolution of novel resistance mechanisms but also potentially stimulate the production of new antimicrobial compounds by competing microorganisms. Rahman et al. (2022) successfully isolated and characterized several antimicrobial-producing bacteria from WWTP sludge, demonstrating activity against common human pathogens. The microbial communities in these environments are highly dynamic and diverse, making them interesting candidates for bioprospecting.

### **1.3 Statement of the Problem**

The increasing emergence of multidrug-resistant bacterial pathogens poses a serious threat to global public health. The overuse and misuse of existing antibiotics have led to diminished efficacy and the proliferation of resistant strains. Meanwhile, the discovery rate of new antibiotics has significantly declined in recent decades. Most current antibiotics are derived from water microorganisms, and their bioactive potential has been extensively exhausted. Therefore, there is a pressing need to

to explore untapped environments such as water bodies for novel antibiotic-producing bacteria. Unfortunately, these environments have not been adequately studied in this regard, especially in local Nigerian contexts. The lack of local data on the antibiotic-producing capacity of aquatic bacteria represents a significant research gap in the fight against AMR.

### **1.3 Aims and Objectives**

#### **1.3.1 Aims**

To screen and isolate potential antibiotic-producing bacteria from water body samples as a contribution toward combating antimicrobial resistance.

#### **1.3.2 Objectives**

1. To collect and analyze the physicochemical properties of water samples from selected water body location.
2. To isolate and culture bacteria from the water samples using standard microbiological techniques.
3. To identify the isolated bacteria using Gram staining and biochemical characterization.

4. To assess the antimicrobial activity of the isolated bacteria against clinically relevant pathogens (*Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*).
5. To compare the findings with existing literature and evaluate the potential of the isolates for further antibiotic development.

## CHAPTER TWO

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1. Water Sample Collection

Water samples were collected from the water dam within Kwara State Polytechnic, Ilorin by systematic random sampling using zig zag pattern. Approximately 50 ml of water were collected from three different sampling points into sterile bottles. The samples were properly labeled as (sample A,B and C) and immediately transported to the Microbiology laboratory, Kwara State Polytechnic, Ilorin for determination of physicochemical parameters and isolation of antibiotic producing bacteria respectively (Ismail et al., 2021).

#### 2.2 Sources of Test Organisms

The method of Bala *et al.* (2012) was used for the collection of preserved culture of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* from the Microbiology laboratory of the Kwara State Polytechnic, Ilorin.

#### 2.3 Isolation of Bacteria with Antibiotic Activity from Water Samples

Nutrient agar was prepared according to the manufacturer's specifications and the water samples were serially diluted upto six fold (i.e  $10^{-6}$ ). Three sets of petri dishes for each sample were labeled as  $10^{-4}$ ,  $10^{-5}$  and  $10^{-6}$ . About 1ml of diluted samples from each test tube was dispensed aseptically into corresponding petri dish. 15 ml of molten nutrient agar was poured into the petri dishes, mixed gently and allo

wed to solidify. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. After incubation, the total viable bacterial counts of the water was conducted and recorded. All the plates were incubated again at 37°C for 72 hours. The water bacteria that inhibited the growth of other bacterial colonies by producing inhibition zone were selected and subcultured on nutrient agar plate to obtain pure strain. The selected bacterial isolates were inoculated by spotting on the lawn culture plates of the test bacteria and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, the one that produced inhibition zones around test bacteria were stored as stock culture in nutrient agar slant at 4°C for further usage.

## **2.4 Identification of the Bacterial Isolates with Antibiotic Activity**

The method of Baltz (2006) was used for the identification of the bacterial isolates. The identification was based on morphological description of colonies, Gram's reaction and biochemical tests and the results were compared with the standard description given in Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology. The biochemical tests performed were catalase, coagulase, citrate, sugar fermentation, methyl red, Voges-proskauer and motility test (Whitman et al., 2020).

### **2.4.1 Gram's Reaction**

The Gram staining technique was employed to differentiate bacterial isolates into Gram-positive and Gram-negative groups, based on cell wall characteristics. A sm

ear of each isolate was prepared on a clean glass slide, heat-fixed, and sequentially stained with crystal violet, Gram's iodine, 95% ethanol (as a decolorizer), and safranin. The slides were then observed under a light microscope at 100× magnification using immersion oil. Gram-positive bacteria retained the crystal violet-iodine complex and appeared purple, while Gram-negative bacteria appeared pink due to safranin counterstaining (Alayande et al., 2021).

#### **2.4.2 Catalase Test**

Catalase activity was assessed by adding a few drops of 3% hydrogen peroxide to a fresh isolate colony on a clean glass slide. The immediate release of oxygen bubbles indicated a positive result, confirming the presence of the catalase enzyme, which breaks down hydrogen peroxide into water and oxygen (Khan et al., 2020).

#### **2.4.3 Coagulase Test**

The coagulase test was performed to detect the production of coagulase enzyme. A drop of plasma was mixed with a bacterial suspension on a glass slide (slide test), and clot formation within 10–30 seconds indicated a positive result. For confirmation, a tube coagulase test was also performed by incubating the isolate suspension in plasma at 37°C for 4 hours and observing for clot formation (Uddin et al., 2022).

#### **2.4.4 Citrate Utilization Test**

Citrate utilization was tested using Simmons' citrate agar slants. The media were inoculated with isolate cultures and incubated at 37°C for 24–48 hours. A color change from green to blue indicated a positive result, demonstrating the ability of the isolate to use citrate as a sole carbon source and produce alkaline by-products (Akinnibosun & Ogundahunsi, 2020).

#### **2.4.5 Sugar Fermentation Test**

Carbohydrate fermentation tests were carried out using phenol red broth containing specific sugars (glucose). Durham tubes were included to detect gas production. The tubes were inoculated with the bacterial isolates and incubated at 37°C for 24–48 hours. A color change from red to yellow indicated acid production (positive fermentation), while gas bubbles in the Durham tube signified gas production (Igbiosa et al., 2020).

#### **2.4.6 Methyl Red (MR) Test**

The MR test was performed to assess the production of stable acidic end-products from glucose fermentation. Isolate cultures were grown in MR-VP broth and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. After incubation, five drops of methyl red indicator were added. A red coloration indicated a positive result, signifying mixed acid fermentation (Onuoha et al., 2021).

#### **2.4.7 Voges-Proskauer (VP) Test**



The VP test was carried out on the same MR-VP broth used above. After 48 hours of incubation, 1 mL of the culture was mixed with 0.6 mL of 5%  $\alpha$ -naphthol and 0.2 mL of 40% potassium hydroxide. The mixture was shaken and allowed to stand for 15–30 minutes. A pink or red color indicated a positive result due to the presence of acetoin, a neutral fermentation end-product (Olajuyigbe & Falade, 2020).

#### **2.4.8 Motility Test**

Motility of the isolates was tested using semi-solid motility agar (0.4% agar). Tubes were stab-inoculated with isolate cultures and incubated at 37°C for 24–48 hours. Diffuse growth radiating from the stab line indicated a positive motility test, whereas growth confined to the stab line indicated non-motility (Eze et al., 2022).

## CHAPTER THREE

### RESULT

#### 3.1 Total Bacterial Viable Counts

The total viable bacterial counts from the water samples are shown in Table 1. Sample C recorded the highest bacterial load at  $19.9 \times 10^5$  cfu/g, followed by samples A and B, with counts of  $4.2 \times 10^5$  and  $4.1 \times 10^5$  cfu/g respectively. The significantly higher microbial load in sample C suggests that the water may be richer in nutrients or organic matter, providing a more favorable environment for bacterial growth.

#### 3.2 Antimicrobial Activity of Bacterial Isolates

The antimicrobial activity of the bacterial isolates (B1–B4) against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* was evaluated using the agar well diffusion method, and the results are presented in Table 2. All isolates demonstrated varying degrees of inhibition against the test organisms. Isolate B1 exhibited the highest activity against *E. coli*, with a zone of inhibition measuring 17 mm, while the same isolate showed moderate activity (6 mm) against *S. aureus*. Isolate B3 showed no inhibition against *E. coli* but produced a 7 mm zone of inhibition against *S. aureus*, indicating possible species-specific activity. Overall, the data suggest that isolate B1 has the most potent broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity.

### **3.3 Morphological and Biochemical Characterization of Bacterial Isolates**

The morphological, Gram staining, and biochemical test results for the bacterial isolates are summarized in Table 3. The isolates exhibited diverse colony morphologies and metabolic traits.

Table 1: Mean total bacterial viable counts of the bacterial isolates

Sample codes	Mean bacterial viable plate counts (cfu/g)
A	4.2×10 <sup>5</sup>
B	4.1×10 <sup>5</sup>
C	19.9×10 <sup>5</sup>