CHAPTER 5

5.0 COSTING, SUMMARY, RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION.

5.1 Cost Estimation of the Project

The project costing was based on number of variables which includes area to be covered, instruments, personnel, transportation and so on. However, another critical factor to be considered is the time duration in which the project was executed. The table below shows the duration the project was accomplished.

Table 5.1: Scheduled and Duration of the Project Execution

Description	Duration (Days)
Reconnaissance	1
Beaconing / Monumentation	1
Spot height points establishment	1
XYZ acquisition of the spot height points (using TS)	1
Height observation of the spot height points (using level instrument)	1
Detailing (using handheld GPS)	1
Data Downloading / Processing	3

Plotting and Report Writing	7
Submission of Report and Plan	5
Total No. of Days Spent for the Project	21

5.1.1 Project Costing Breakdown

Costing of this project was based on Professional Scale of Fees as approved by Nigerian Institution of Surveyor (NIS) in 2017 using 1996 Federal Government Approved Scale of Fees for Consultants in the Construction Industry. The prevailing inflation rate as at February 2023 was 21.91 % and this was applied to the cost estimate.

Table 5.2: Worked out Calculation for the grand Total Cost

S/N	OPERATION	RATE/DAY	NO OF DAYS	UNIT COST (#)	AMOUNT (#)
1	RECONNISSANCE(1 DAY)				
	4 Technician	15,189.11	1	15,189.11 x 4	60,756.40

	1 Skilled Labour	9,468.61	1	9,468.61x 1	9,468.61
	Transportation (Field vehicle + Driver / Mechanic + fuel	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	46,027.61
	Basic equipment (Hand held GPS etc.)	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	46,027.61
	SUB TOTAL				157,546.00
2	(A) BEACONS (5) (standard Cadastral Beacon)	5,000 per Beacon		5,000 x 5	25,000.00
	(B) BEACONING/ MONUMENTATION (1 day)				
	6 Surveyors	15,189.11	1	6x 15,189.11x	91,134.11
	3 Skilled Labour	9,468.61	1	3x 9,468.61x	28,405.83

	Transportation (Field vehicle +	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	46,027.61
	Driver / Mechanic + fuel)				
	Basic tools (Crow bar, Trowel,	13,929.00	1	13,929.00x 1	13,929.00
	Shovel etc)				
	SUBTOTAL				179,496.55
3	Spot Height Establishment				
	(1 DAY)				
	2 surveyors	15,189.11	1	2x15,189.11 x	30,378.22
				1	
	3 Unskilled Labour	9,468.61	1	3 x9,468.61x	56,811.66
				1	
	Basic Equipment	46,027.61	1	46,027.61x1	92,055.22
	Transportation (Field vehicle +	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	92,055.22
	Driver / Maintenance + Fuel)				
	SUBTOTAL				271,300.32

4	XYZ ACQUISITION USING				
	(TS)				
	(1 DAY)				
	1 Senior Surveyor	22,783.67	1	1x 22,783.67 x 2	45,567.34
	2 Surveyors	15,189.11	1	2 x15,189.11 x 2	60,756.44
	2skilled Labour	9,468.61	1	2 x9,468.61x 2	37,874.44
	Basic Equipment	46,027.61	1	46,027.61x 2	92,055.22
	Transportation (Field vehicle + Driver / Maintenance + Fuel)	46,027.61		46,027.61 x 2	92,055.22
	SUBTOTAL				328,308.66
5	HEIGHT OBSERVATIION				
	(LEVEL INSTRUMENT)				
	(1DAY)				

	1 Senior Surveyor	22,783.67	1	1x 22,783.67	45,567.34
				x 2	
	2 surveyors	15,189.11	1	2 x15,189.11	60,756.44
				x 2	
	2skilled Labour	9,468.61	1	2 x9,468.61x	37,874.44
				2	
	Basic Equipment	46,027.61	1	46,027.61x 2	92,055.22
	Transportation (Field vehicle +	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 2	92,055.22
	Driver / Maintenance + Fuel)				
	SUBTOTAL				328,308.32
6	DETAILING				
	(1 DAY)				
	2.0	15 100 11		2 15 100 11	20.270.22
	2 Surveyors	15,189.11	1	2x 15,189.11x	30,378.22
				1	
	2 (1.11 1.7 1	0.460.61	-	2 0 460 61	20.405.02
	3 Skilled Labour	9,468.61	1	3x 9,468.61x	28,405.83
				1	

	Transportation (Field vehicle +	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	46,027.61
	Driver / Mechanic + fuel)				
	Basic Equipment	46,027.61	1	46,027.61x 1	46,027.61
	SUBTOTAL				150,839.27
7	DATA DOWNLOADING				
	/ PROCESSING				
	(3 DAYS)				
	1 Senior Surveyor	22,783.67	3	22,783.67 x 3	68,351.01
	2 surveyors	15,189.11	3	2x15,189.11 x	91,134.66
	Computer Accessories	49,315.28	3	49,315.28 x 3	147,945.84
	SUBTOTAL				307,431.51
8	PLOTTING AND REPORT WRITTING				

	(7 DAYS)				
	1 Senior Surveyor	22,783.67	7	1x22783.67 x	159,485.69
	2 surveyors	15,189.11	7	2x15,189.11 x 7	212,647.54
	Standard set (computer, plotter	65,753.70	7	1x65,753.70 x	460,275.90
	etc) SUBTOTAL			7	832,409.13
9	SUBMISSION OF REPORT AND PLAN				
	(1 DAY)				
	1 Chief Surveyor	30,800.00	1	30,800.00x 1	30,800.00
	2 surveyors	15,189.11	1	2x 15,189.11x 1	30,378.22
	1 Computer	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	46,027.61
	Consumables	13,929.00	1	13,929.00 x 1	13,929.00

SUBTOTAL	121,135.41
COST OF THJE PROJECT =	2,676,775.17
ACCOMODATION(15% of the cost of the project)	595,177.22
MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION (10% of cost of the project) =	396,784.81
CONTINGENCIES (5% of cost of the project) =	198,392.41
VAT (7.5% of the Total cost of the project)=	297,588.61
ACTUAL BILL/ GRAND TOTAL =	4,164,718.22

Hence, the total cost of expenditure used for comparative evaluation of digital levelling and total station equipment for height measurement project was estimated to be Four Million, One Hundred and Sixty Four Thousand, Seven Hundred Eighteen Naira, Twenty Two Kobo only.

5.2 Summary

This project presents a comprehensive comparative evaluation of the accuracy and reliability of digital levelling and total station equipment for determining height measurements.

The project reveals that both tools have distinct strengths and limitations, with digital

levelling offering high precision and accuracy in precise levelling tasks, and total station equipment providing flexibility and versatility in various surveying applications. The results demonstrate that the choice between these two tools depends on the specific requirements of the project, including the range, precision, and type of measurement. The project's findings have significant implications for surveying professionals, researchers, and engineers, providing a deeper understanding of the capabilities and limitations of digital levelling and total station equipment.

5.3 Recommendation

Based on the findings of this study, it is recommended that surveying professionals and researchers consider the environmental conditions and potential sources of error when selecting and using digital levelling and total station equipment. By understanding the potential sources of error and taking steps to mitigate them, professionals can ensure the accuracy and reliability of height measurements. Additionally, it is recommended that professionals use multiple measurement techniques and equipment to validate results and ensure accuracy.

5.4 Conclusion

In conclusion, this project has provided a comprehensive assessment of the accuracy and reliability of digital levelling and total station equipment for determining height measurements. The results demonstrate that both tools have distinct strengths and limitations, with digital levelling offering high precision and accuracy, and total station equipment

providing flexibility and versatility. By understanding these characteristics, surveying professionals can make informed decisions, selecting the most suitable equipment for specific projects. Ultimately, this study contributes to the advancement of surveying practices, enhancing the accuracy and reliability of height measurements and informing the development of more effective surveying methodologies.

REFERENCES

- Audu, H.A.P., Tijjani, M.Y. (2017). Comparative Assessment of the Accuracy of the Elevation differences obtained from different Geomatics Techniques and Instruments. Nigerian Journal of Environmental Sciences and Technology Vol 1, No. 1 pp 136 – 145.
- Beshr, A.A.A.; Abo Elnaga, I.M. (2011). Investigating the Accuracy of Digital Levels and Total Stations for Purposes of Geodetic Engineering. *Alex. Eng. J.* 50, 399–405.
- Featherstone, W. E. (2002). Height systems and vertical datums: A review. *Reports on Geodesy*, 49(1), 97-107.
- Journal of Geomatics: Reliability Analysis of Digital Levelling and Total Station Equipment.
- Journal of Surveying Engineering (ASCE): Comparative Study of Digital Levelling and Total Station for Height Measurement.
- Lee, J., & Rho, T. (2001). Application to levelling using total station. In New Technology for a New Century International Conference FIG Working Week 2001. Seoul, Korea: FIG Conference Proceedings.
- M. Abdullahi and N. A. Yelwa, (2016). Structural Deformation Monitoring Surveys of New Administrative Building of Federal School of Surveying, Oyo Nigeria, *International Journal of Science and Technology*, vol. 6, no. 1.
- Maembe, w. (2012). Potential application of Total Station Levelling as a Precise Geodetic Levelling. B.Sc. Thesis, Department of Geomatics Ardhi University, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.
- Surveying and Land Information Science: Comparison of Digital Levelling and Total Station for Monitoring Vertical Displacements.

APPENDIX

ID	EASTING	NORTHING TS HE	EIGHT	LEVEL HEIGHT
PL.1	680428.567	946558.865	356.128	
PL.2	680417.004	946286.792	354.746	
PL.3	680171.885	946294.956	353.013	
PL.4	680205.833	946686.334	357.652	
PL.5	680380.567	946678.865	356.849	
SH1	680272.275	946497.781	355.749	355.715
SH2	680267.717	946479.907	356.403	356.362
SH3	680273.327	946450.469	354.811	354.772
SH4	680287.358	946434.349	354.268	354.208
SH5	680317.873	946433.648	355.036	354.984
SH6	680304.544	946455.727	355.710	355.668
SH7	680337.867	946463.436	355.448	355.386
SH8	680342.774	946441.708	356.295	356.240
SH9	680342.774	946441.708	356.736	356.680
SH10	680348.740	946443.460	355.971	355.931
SH11	680377.262	946451.836	355.725	355.673
SH12	680382.060	946482.711	355.318	355.277
SH13	680381.009	946518.108	354.819	354.766
SH14	680350.494	946509.345	355.174	355.128
SH15	680356.104	946484.813	355.033	355.005
SH16	680332.603	946480.960	355.228	355.188

SH17 68029	98.581 9464	90.422	355.8	34		355.80)2	
SH18 68031	8.222 9465	06.191	355.7	26		355.67	78	
SH19 68030	08.753 94652	26.168	356.1	48		356.09	96	
SH20 68034	12.774 9464	41.708	356.7	36		356.68	30	
SHOPRITE	680275.567	946584.865	,	S.G.I	68036	4.567	94663	0.865
SHOPRITE	680229.567	946585.865	,	S.G.I	68036	8.567	94665	8.865
SHOPRITE	680218.567	946585.865	,	S.G.I	68036	0.567	94666	1.865
SHOPRITE	680214.567	946661.865	,	S.G.I	68034	7.567	94666	1.865
SHOPRITE	680262.006	946660.833	,	S.G.I	68034	6.567	94665	9.865
SHOPRITE	680264.633	946610.907	,	S.G.I	68034	8.567	94663	9.865
SHOPRITE	680269.493	946616.306	,	S.G.I	68034	8.567	94663	0.865
SHOPRITE	680281.021	946610.551	,	S.G.I	68036	4.567	94663	0.865
SHOPRITE	680281.021	946610.551						
DRCT. IES	680344.567	946584.865	,	BLD&	¢QS	68037	1.567	946581.865
DRCT. IES	680343.551	946601.098	,	BLD&	¢QS	68037	0.514	946603.802
DRCT. IES	680352.430	946601.591	,	BLD&	¢QS	68040	1.497	946607.815

DRCT. IES 6803	352.329	946603.210	,	BLD&QS	68040)4.537	946602.905
DRCT. IES 6803	361.208	946603.703	,	BLD&QS	68040	2.499	946585.830
ARC&URP 6803	328.567	946604.865	,	BFM 680	262.567	94652	1.871
ARC&URP 6802	292.567	946602.865	,	BFM 680	267.567	94650	9.867
ARC&URP 6802	293.684	946585.013	,	BFM 6802	279.567	94651	3.862
ARC&URP 680	329.684	946587.013	,	BFM 6802	274.567	94652	5.865
MKT. 680215.442	94642	26.097	,	ACCT.6802	262.660	94642	8.209
MKT. 680214.930	94643	36.134	,	ACCT.6802	218.483	94641	9.645
MKT. 680263.075	94644	15.466	,	ACCT.6802	218.891	94641	1.655
MKT. 680263.587	94643	35.430	,	ACCT.6802	263.068	94642	0.219
PHYS. 680266.105	94640)5.563 ,	OTM.	680266.988	94638	36.384	
PHYS. 680267.046	94639	94.755 ,	OTM.	680218.393	94638	80.018	
PHYS. 680218.331	94638	37.08 ,	OTM.	680221.571	94637	72.409	
PHYS. 680217.389	94639	97.897 ,	OTM.	680270.166	94637	78.775	
PAD. 6802	240.402	946321.660					
PAD. 680215.235 946320.874							

PAD. 680218.077 946335.465

PAD. 680243.244 946336.252