CHAPTER 5

5.0 COSTING, SUMMARY, RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION.

5.1 Cost Estimation of the Project

The project costing was based on number of variables which includes area to be covered, instruments, personnel, transportation and so on. However, another critical factor to be considered is the time duration in which the project was executed. The table below shows the duration the project was accomplished.

 Table 5.1: Scheduled and Duration of the Project Execution

Description	Duration (Days)
Reconnaissance	1
Beaconing / Monumentation	1
Spot height points establishment	1
XYZ acquisition of the spot height points (using TS)	1
Height observation of the spot height points (using level instrument)	1
Detailing (using handheld GPS)	1
Data Downloading / Processing	3

Plotting and Report Writing	7
Submission of Report and Plan	5
Total No. of Days Spent for the Project	21

5.1.1 Project Costing Breakdown

Costing of this project was based on Professional Scale of Fees as approved by Nigerian Institution of Surveyor (NIS) in 2017 using 1996 Federal Government Approved Scale of Fees for Consultants in the Construction Industry. The prevailing inflation rate as at February 2023 was 21.91 % and this was applied to the cost estimate.

Table 5.2: Worked out Calculation for the grand Total Cost

S/N	OPERATION	RATE/DAY	NO OF DAYS	UNIT COST (#)	AMOUNT (#)
1	RECONNISSANCE(1 DAY)				
	4 Technician	15,189.11	1	15,189.11 x 4	60,756.40
	1 Skilled Labour	9,468.61	1	9,468.61x 1	9,468.61

	Transportation (Field vehicle +	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	46,027.61
	Driver / Mechanic + fuel				
	Basic equipment (Hand held GPS	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	46,027.61
	etc.)				
	SUB TOTAL				157,546.00
2	(A) BEACONS (5)	5,000 per		5,000 x 5	25,000.00
				3,000 X 3	23,000.00
	(standard Cadastral Beacon)	Beacon			
	(B) BEACONING/				
	MONUMENTATION (1 day)				
	6 Surveyors	15,189.11	1	6x 15,189.11x	91,134.11
				1	
	3 Skilled Labour	9,468.61	1	3x 9,468.61x	28,405.83
				1	
	Transportation (Field vehicle + Driver / Mechanic + fuel)	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	46,027.61

	Basic tools (Crow bar, Trowel,	13,929.00	1	13,929.00x 1	13,929.00
	Shovel etc)				
	SUBTOTAL				179,496.55
2	S AW'LLEALL'I				
3	Spot Height Establishment				
	(1 DAY)				
	2 surveyors	15,189.11	1	2x15,189.11 x	30,378.22
				1	
	3 Unskilled Labour	9,468.61	1	3 x9,468.61x	56,811.66
				1	
	Basic Equipment	46,027.61	1	46,027.61x1	92,055.22
	Transportation (Field vehicle +	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	92,055.22
	Driver / Maintenance + Fuel)				
	SUBTOTAL				271,300.32
4	XYZ ACQUISITION USING				
	(TS)				
	(1 DAY)				

	1 Senior Surveyor	22,783.67	1	1x 22,783.67	45,567.34
				x 2	
	2 Surveyors	15,189.11	1	2 x15,189.11	60,756.44
				x 2	
	2skilled Labour	9,468.61	1	2 x9,468.61x	37,874.44
				2	
	Basic Equipment	46,027.61	1	46,027.61x 2	92,055.22
	Transportation (Field vehicle +	46,027.61		46,027.61 x 2	92,055.22
	Driver / Maintenance + Fuel)				
	SUBTOTAL				328,308.66
5	HEIGHT OBSERVATION				
	(LEVEL INSTRUMENT)				
	(1DAY)				
	1 Senior Surveyor	22,783.67	1	1x 22,783.67	45,567.34
				x 2	
	2 surveyors	15,189.11	1	2 x15,189.11	60,756.44
				x 2	

	2skilled Labour	9,468.61	1	2 x9,468.61x 2	37,874.44
	Basic Equipment	46,027.61	1	46,027.61x 2	92,055.22
	Transportation (Field vehicle + Driver / Maintenance + Fuel)	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 2	92,055.22
	SUBTOTAL				328,308.32
6	DETAILING				
0	(1 DAY)				
	2 Surveyors	15,189.11	1	2x 15,189.11x	30,378.22
	3 Skilled Labour	9,468.61	1	3x 9,468.61x	28,405.83
	Transportation (Field vehicle + Driver / Mechanic + fuel)	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	46,027.61
	Basic Equipment	46,027.61	1	46,027.61x 1	46,027.61
	SUBTOTAL				150,839.27

7	DATA DOWNLOADING				
	/ PROCESSING				
	(3 DAYS)				
	1 Senior Surveyor	22,783.67	3	22,783.67 x 3	68,351.01
	2 surveyors	15,189.11	3	2x15,189.11 x 3	91,134.66
	Computer Accessories	49,315.28	3	49,315.28 x 3	147,945.84
	SUBTOTAL				307,431.51
8	PLOTTING AND REPORT				
	WRITTING				
	(7 DAYS)				
	1 Senior Surveyor	22,783.67	7	1x22783.67 x	159,485.69
	2 surveyors	15,189.11	7	2x15,189.11 x 7	212,647.54
	Standard set (computer, plotter etc)	65,753.70	7	1x65,753.70 x	460,275.90

	SUBTOTAL				832,409.13
9	SUBMISSION OF REPORT				
	AND PLAN				
	(1 DAY)				
	1 Chief Surveyor	30,800.00	1	30,800.00x 1	30,800.00
	2 surveyors	15,189.11	1	2x 15,189.11x	30,378.22
	1 Computer	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	46,027.61
	Consumables	13,929.00	1	13,929.00 x 1	13,929.00
	SUBTOTAL				121,135.41
	COST OF THJE P	PROJECT	=		2,676,775.17
ACCOMODATION(15% of the cost of the project)					595,177.22
MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION (10% of cost of the project) =					396,784.81
	198,392.41				

VAT (7.5% of the Total cost of the project)=	297,588.61
ACTUAL BILL/ GRAND TOTAL =	4,164,718.22

Hence, the total cost of expenditure used for comparative evaluation of digital levelling and total station equipment for height measurement project was estimated to be Four Million, One Hundred and Sixty Four Thousand, Seven Hundred Eighteen Naira, Twenty Two Kobo only.

5.2 Summary

This project presents a comprehensive comparative evaluation of the accuracy and reliability of digital levelling and total station equipment for determining height measurements. The project reveals that both tools have distinct strengths and limitations, with digital levelling offering high precision and accuracy in precise levelling tasks, and total station equipment providing flexibility and versatility in various surveying applications. The results demonstrate that the choice between these two tools depends on the specific requirements of the project, including the range, precision, and type of measurement. The project's findings have significant implications for surveying professionals, researchers, and engineers, providing a deeper understanding of the capabilities and limitations of digital levelling and total station equipment.

5.3 Recommendation

Based on the findings of this study, it is recommended that surveying professionals and researchers consider the environmental conditions and potential sources of error when

selecting and using digital levelling and total station equipment. By understanding the potential sources of error and taking steps to mitigate them, professionals can ensure the accuracy and reliability of height measurements. Additionally, it is recommended that professionals use multiple measurement techniques and equipment to validate results and ensure accuracy.

5.4 Conclusion

In conclusion, this project has provided a comprehensive assessment of the accuracy and reliability of digital levelling and total station equipment for determining height measurements. The results demonstrate that both tools have distinct strengths and limitations, with digital levelling offering high precision and accuracy, and total station equipment providing flexibility and versatility. By understanding these characteristics, surveying professionals can make informed decisions, selecting the most suitable equipment for specific projects. Ultimately, this study contributes to the advancement of surveying practices, enhancing the accuracy and reliability of height measurements and informing the development of more effective surveying methodologies.

REFERENCES

- Audu, H.A.P., Tijjani, M.Y. (2017). Comparative Assessment of the Accuracy of the Elevation differences obtained from different Geomatics Techniques and Instruments. *Nigerian Journal of Environmental Sciences and Technology* Vol 1, No. 1 pp 136 145.
- Beshr, A.A.A.; Abo Elnaga, I.M. (2011). Investigating the Accuracy of Digital Levels and Total Stations for Purposes of Geodetic Engineering. *Alex. Eng. J.* 50, 399–405.
- Featherstone, W. E. (2002). Height systems and vertical datums: A review. *Reports on Geodesy*, 49(1), 97-107.
- Journal of Geomatics: Reliability Analysis of Digital Levelling and Total Station Equipment.
- Journal of Surveying Engineering (ASCE): Comparative Study of Digital Levelling and Total Station for Height Measurement.
- Lee, J., & Rho, T. (2001). Application to levelling using total station. In New Technology for a New Century International Conference FIG Working Week 2001. Seoul, Korea: FIG Conference Proceedings.
- M. Abdullahi and N. A. Yelwa, (2016). Structural Deformation Monitoring Surveys of New Administrative Building of Federal School of Surveying, Oyo – Nigeria, *International Journal of Science and Technology*, vol. 6, no. 1.
- Maembe, w. (2012). Potential application of Total Station Levelling as a Precise Geodetic Levelling. B.Sc. Thesis, Department of Geomatics Ardhi University, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.
- Surveying and Land Information Science: Comparison of Digital Levelling and Total Station for Monitoring Vertical Displacements.

APPENDIX

ID	EASTING	NORTHING	TS HEIGHT	LEVEL HEIGHT
PL.1	680428.567	946558.865	356.128	
PL.2	680417.004	946286.792	354.746	
PL.3	680171.885	946294.956	353.013	
PL.4	680205.833	946686.334	357.652	
PL.5	680380.567	946678.865	356.849	
SH1	680272.275	946497.781	355.749	355.715
SH2	680267.717	946479.907	356.403	356.362
SH3	680273.327	946450.469	354.811	354.772
SH4	680287.358	946434.349	354.268	354.208
SH5	680317.873	946433.648	355.036	354.984
SH6	680304.544	946455.727	355.710	355.668
SH7	680337.867	946463.436	355.448	355.386
SH8	680342.774	946441.708	356.295	356.240
SH9	680342.774	946441.708	356.736	356.680
SH10	680348.740	946443.460	355.971	355.931
SH11	680377.262	946451.836	355.725	355.673
SH12	680382.060	946482.711	355.318	355.277
SH13	680381.009	946518.108	354.819	354.766
SH14	680350.494	946509.345	355.174	355.128
SH15	680356.104	946484.813	355.033	355.005
SH16	680332.603	946480.960	355.228	355.188
SH17	680298.581	946490.422	355.834	355.802
SH18	680318.222	946506.191	355.726	355.678
SH19	680308.753	946526.168	356.148	356.096

SHOPRITE	680275.567	946584.865	,	S.G.I 680364.567 946630.865
SHOPRITE	680229.567	946585.865	,	S.G.I 680368.567 946658.865
SHOPRITE	680218.567	946585.865	,	S.G.I 680360.567 946661.865
SHOPRITE	680214.567	946661.865	,	S.G.I 680347.567 946661.865
SHOPRITE	680262.006	946660.833	,	S.G.I 680346.567 946659.865
SHOPRITE	680264.633	946610.907	,	S.G.I 680348.567 946639.865
SHOPRITE	680269.493	946616.306	,	S.G.I 680348.567 946630.865
SHOPRITE	680281.021	946610.551	,	S.G.I 680364.567 946630.865
SHOPRITE	680281.021	946610.551		
DRCT. IES	680344.567	946584.865	,	BLD&QS 680371.567 946581.865
DRCT. IES	680343.551	946601.098	,	BLD&QS 680370.514 946603.802
DRCT. IES	680352.430	946601.591	,	BLD&QS 680401.497 946607.815
DRCT. IES	680352.329	946603.210	,	BLD&QS 680404.537 946602.905
DRCT. IES	680361.208	946603.703	,	BLD&QS 680402.499 946585.830
ARC&URP	680328.567	946604.865	,	BFM 680262.567 946521.871
ARC&URP	680292.567	946602.865	,	BFM 680267.567 946509.867

ARC&URP	680293.68	84 94658	35.013	,	BFM	68027	9.567	946513.862
ARC&URP	680329.68	84 94658	37.013	,	BFM	68027	4.567	946525.865
MKT. 68021	5.442 94	6426.097		,	ACCT	.680262	2.660	946428.209
MKT. 68021	4.930 94	6436.134		,	ACCT	.680218	3.483	946419.645
MKT. 68026	3.075 94	6445.466		,	ACCT	.680218	3.891	946411.655
MKT. 68026	3.587 94	6435.430		,	ACCT	.680263	3.068	946420.219
PHYS. 68026	6.105 94	6405.563	,	ОТМ.	68026	6.988	94638	6.384
PHYS. 68026	7.046 94	6394.755	,	ОТМ.	680213	8.393	94638	0.018
PHYS. 68021	8.331 94	6387.08	,	ОТМ.	68022	1.571	94637	2.409
PHYS. 68021	7.389 94	6397.897	,	ОТМ.	680270	0.166	94637	8.775
PAD.	680240.40	02 94632	21.660					
PAD.	680215.23	35 94632	0.874					
PAD.	680218.0	77 94633	5.465					

PAD.

680243.244 946336.252