

CHAPTER FIVE

5.0 COSTING, SUMMARY, RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION.

5.1 Cost Estimation of the Project

The project costing was based on number of variables which includes area to be covered, instruments, personnel, transportation and so on. However, another critical factor to be considered is the time duration in which the project was executed. The table below shows the duration the project was accomplished.

Table 5.1: Scheduled and Duration of the Project Execution

Description	Duration (Days)
Reconnaissance	1
Beaconing / Monumentation	1
Spot height points establishment	1
XYZ acquisition of the spot height points (using TS)	1
Height observation of the spot height points (using level instrument)	1
Detailing (using handheld GPS)	1
Data Downloading / Processing	3

Plotting and Report Writing	7
Submission of Report and Plan	5
Total No. of Days Spent for the Project	21

5.1.1 Project Costing Breakdown

Costing of this project was based on Professional Scale of Fees as approved by Nigerian Institution of Surveyor (NIS) in 2017 using 1996 Federal Government Approved Scale of Fees for Consultants in the Construction Industry. The prevailing inflation rate as at February 2023 was 21.91 % and this was applied to the cost estimate.

Table 5.2: Worked out Calculation for the grand Total Cost

S/N	OPERATION	RATE/DAY	NO OF DAYS	UNIT COST (#)	AMOUNT (#)
1	RECONNAISSANCE(1 DAY)				
	4 Technician	15,189.11	1	15,189.11 x 4	60,756.40
	1 Skilled Labour	9,468.61	1	9,468.61x 1	9,468.61

	Transportation (Field vehicle + Driver / Mechanic + fuel	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	46,027.61
	Basic equipment (Hand held GPS etc.)	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	46,027.61
	SUB TOTAL				157,546.00
2	(A) BEACONS (5) (standard Cadastral Beacon)	5,000 per Beacon		5,000 x 5	25,000.00
	(B) BEACONING/ MONUMENTATION (1 day)				
	6 Surveyors	15,189.11	1	6x 15,189.11x 1	91,134.11
	3 Skilled Labour	9,468.61	1	3x 9,468.61x 1	28,405.83
	Transportation (Field vehicle + Driver / Mechanic + fuel)	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	46,027.61

	Basic tools (Crow bar, Trowel, Shovel etc)	13,929.00	1	13,929.00x 1	13,929.00
	SUBTOTAL				179,496.55
3	Spot Height Establishment (1 DAY)				
	2 surveyors	15,189.11	1	2x15,189.11 x 1	30,378.22
	3 Unskilled Labour	9,468.61	1	3 x9,468.61x 1	56,811.66
	Basic Equipment	46,027.61	1	46,027.61x1	92,055.22
	Transportation (Field vehicle + Driver / Maintenance + Fuel)	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	92,055.22
	SUBTOTAL				271,300.32
4	XYZ ACQUISITION USING (TS) (1 DAY)				

	1 Senior Surveyor	22,783.67	1	1x 22,783.67 x 2	45,567.34
	2 Surveyors	15,189.11	1	2 x15,189.11 x 2	60,756.44
	2skilled Labour	9,468.61	1	2 x9,468.61x 2	37,874.44
	Basic Equipment	46,027.61	1	46,027.61x 2	92,055.22
	Transportation (Field vehicle + Driver / Maintenance + Fuel)	46,027.61		46,027.61 x 2	92,055.22
	SUBTOTAL				328,308.66
5	HEIGHT OBSERVATION (LEVEL INSTRUMENT) (1DAY)				
	1 Senior Surveyor	22,783.67	1	1x 22,783.67 x 2	45,567.34
	2 surveyors	15,189.11	1	2 x15,189.11 x 2	60,756.44

	2skilled Labour	9,468.61	1	2 x9,468.61x 2	37,874.44
	Basic Equipment	46,027.61	1	46,027.61x 2	92,055.22
	Transportation (Field vehicle + Driver / Maintenance + Fuel)	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 2	92,055.22
	SUBTOTAL				328,308.32
6	DETAILING (1 DAY)				
	2 Surveyors	15,189.11	1	2x 15,189.11x 1	30,378.22
	3 Skilled Labour	9,468.61	1	3x 9,468.61x 1	28,405.83
	Transportation (Field vehicle + Driver / Mechanic + fuel)	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	46,027.61
	Basic Equipment	46,027.61	1	46,027.61x 1	46,027.61
	SUBTOTAL				150,839.27

7	DATA DOWNLOADING / PROCESSING (3 DAYS)				
	1 Senior Surveyor	22,783.67	3	22,783.67 x 3	68,351.01
	2 surveyors	15,189.11	3	2x15,189.11 x 3	91,134.66
	Computer Accessories	49,315.28	3	49,315.28 x 3	147,945.84
	SUBTOTAL				307,431.51
8	PLOTTING AND REPORT WRITTING (7 DAYS)				
	1 Senior Surveyor	22,783.67	7	1x22783.67 x 7	159,485.69
	2 surveyors	15,189.11	7	2x15,189.11 x 7	212,647.54
	Standard set (computer, plotter etc)	65,753.70	7	1x65,753.70 x 7	460,275.90

	SUBTOTAL				832,409.13
9	SUBMISSION OF REPORT AND PLAN (1 DAY)				
	1 Chief Surveyor	30,800.00	1	30,800.00x 1	30,800.00
	2 surveyors	15,189.11	1	2x 15,189.11x 1	30,378.22
	1 Computer	46,027.61	1	46,027.61 x 1	46,027.61
	Consumables	13,929.00	1	13,929.00 x 1	13,929.00
	SUBTOTAL				121,135.41
COST OF THE PROJECT =					2,676,775.17
ACCOMODATION (15% of the cost of the project)					595,177.22
MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION (10% of cost of the project) =					396,784.81
CONTINGENCIES (5% of cost of the project) =					198,392.41

VAT (7.5% of the Total cost of the project)=	297,588.61
ACTUAL BILL/ GRAND TOTAL =	4,164,718.22

Hence, the total cost of expenditure used for comparative evaluation of digital levelling and total station equipment for height measurement project was estimated to be Four Million, One Hundred and Sixty Four Thousand, Seven Hundred Eighteen Naira, Twenty Two Kobo only.

5.2 Summary

The comparative evaluation of digital levelling and total station equipment for height measurement reveals that both tools have their advantages and disadvantages. Digital levelling offers high precision and accuracy, particularly in precise levelling tasks, whereas total station equipment provides flexibility and versatility in various surveying applications. The project demonstrates that the choice between these two instruments depends on the specific requirements of the project, including the range, precision, and type of measurement. The results of this research contribute to a deeper understanding of the capabilities and limitations of digital levelling and total station equipment, ultimately enhancing the accuracy and reliability of height measurements in various fields.

5.3 Recommendation

This project recommends that surveying professionals and organizations invest in both digital levelling and total station equipment, as both tools have distinct strengths and limitations. By having access to both tools, professionals can select the most suitable

equipment for specific projects, ensuring the accuracy and reliability of height measurements. Furthermore, it is recommended that professionals stay up-to-date with the latest technological advancements and best practices in surveying, to ensure that they are using the most effective and efficient methods for height measurement.

5.4 Conclusion

This comparative evaluation has provided valuable insights into the accuracy and reliability of digital levelling and total station equipment for height measurement. The project's findings demonstrate that digital leveling excels in precise leveling tasks, while total station equipment offers versatility and flexibility in various surveying applications. In conclusion, the choice between these two tools depends on the specific requirements of the project, including the range, precision, and type of measurement. By selecting the most suitable equipment, surveying professionals can ensure the accuracy and reliability of height measurements, ultimately contributing to the success of their projects. This project's results have significant implications for the development of more effective surveying methodologies and the advancement of surveying practices.

REFERENCES

- Audu, H.A.P., Tijjani, M.Y. (2017). Comparative Assessment of the Accuracy of the Elevation differences obtained from different Geomatics Techniques and Instruments. *Nigerian Journal of Environmental Sciences and Technology* Vol 1, No. 1 pp 136 - 145
- Beshr, A.A.A.; Abo Elnaga, I.M. (2011). Investigating the Accuracy of Digital Levels and Total Stations for Purposes of Geodetic Engineering. *Alex. Eng. J.* 50, 399–405
- FIG Congress: Comparative Study of Digital Levelling and Total Station for Height Measurement.
- International Journal of Civil Engineering: Digital Levelling and Total Station: A Comparative Study in Surveying Applications.
- Journal of Spatial Science: Accuracy Assessment of Digital Levelling and Total Station in Surveying.
- M. A (2018).Comparative Study of Conventional Surveying Techniques with Total Station and Digital Levelling *International Journal of Civil Engineering Technology*, 9, 440–446
- Simbolon, A. B. S., Yuwono, B. D., &Amarrohman, F. J. (2017). Comparatory analysis of the accuracy between Total Station and Digital Levelling. *UndipJournal of Geodesy*, 6(4), 285-294. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jgundip.2017.18153>
- W. Maembe, “Potential Application of Total Station Levelling as a Precise Geodetic Levelling” BSc. Thesis, Department of Geomatic Ardhi University Dare Salam Tanzania,2012.

APPENDIX

ID	EASTING	NORTHING	TS HEIGHT	LEVEL HEIGHT
PL.1	680428.567	946558.865	356.128	
PL.2	680417.004	946286.792	354.746	
PL.3	680171.885	946294.956	353.013	
PL.4	680205.833	946686.334	357.652	
PL.5	680380.567	946678.865	356.849	
SH1	680272.275	946497.781	355.749	355.715
SH2	680267.717	946479.907	356.403	356.362
SH3	680273.327	946450.469	354.811	354.772
SH4	680287.358	946434.349	354.268	354.208
SH5	680317.873	946433.648	355.036	354.984
SH6	680304.544	946455.727	355.710	355.668
SH7	680337.867	946463.436	355.448	355.386
SH8	680342.774	946441.708	356.295	356.240
SH9	680342.774	946441.708	356.736	356.680
SH10	680348.740	946443.460	355.971	355.931

SH11	680377.262	946451.836	355.725	355.673
SH12	680382.060	946482.711	355.318	355.277
SH13	680381.009	946518.108	354.819	354.766
SH14	680350.494	946509.345	355.174	355.128
SH15	680356.104	946484.813	355.033	355.005
SH16	680332.603	946480.960	355.228	355.188
SH17	680298.581	946490.422	355.834	355.802
SH18	680318.222	946506.191	355.726	355.678
SH19	680308.753	946526.168	356.148	356.096
SH20	680342.774	946441.708	356.736	356.680

SHOPRITE	680275.567	946584.865	,	S.G.I	680364.567	946630.865
SHOPRITE	680229.567	946585.865	,	S.G.I	680368.567	946658.865
SHOPRITE	680218.567	946585.865	,	S.G.I	680360.567	946661.865
SHOPRITE	680214.567	946661.865	,	S.G.I	680347.567	946661.865
SHOPRITE	680262.006	946660.833	,	S.G.I	680346.567	946659.865
SHOPRITE	680264.633	946610.907	,	S.G.I	680348.567	946639.865
SHOPRITE	680269.493	946616.306	,	S.G.I	680348.567	946630.865

SHOPRITE	680281.021	946610.551	,	S.G.I	680364.567	946630.865
SHOPRITE	680281.021	946610.551				
DRCT. IES	680344.567	946584.865	,	BLD&QS	680371.567	946581.865
DRCT. IES	680343.551	946601.098	,	BLD&QS	680370.514	946603.802
DRCT. IES	680352.430	946601.591	,	BLD&QS	680401.497	946607.815
DRCT. IES	680352.329	946603.210	,	BLD&QS	680404.537	946602.905
DRCT. IES	680361.208	946603.703	,	BLD&QS	680402.499	946585.830
ARC&URP	680328.567	946604.865	,	BFM	680262.567	946521.871
ARC&URP	680292.567	946602.865	,	BFM	680267.567	946509.867
ARC&URP	680293.684	946585.013	,	BFM	680279.567	946513.862
ARC&URP	680329.684	946587.013	,	BFM	680274.567	946525.865
MKT.	680215.442	946426.097	,	ACCT.	680262.660	946428.209
MKT.	680214.930	946436.134	,	ACCT.	680218.483	946419.645
MKT.	680263.075	946445.466	,	ACCT.	680218.891	946411.655
MKT.	680263.587	946435.430	,	ACCT.	680263.068	946420.219
PHYS.	680266.105	946405.563	,	OTM.	680266.988	946386.384
PHYS.	680267.046	946394.755	,	OTM.	680218.393	946380.018

PHYS. 680218.331 946387.08 , OTM. 680221.571 946372.409

PHYS. 680217.389 946397.897 , OTM. 680270.166 946378.775

PAD. 680240.402 946321.660

PAD. 680215.235 946320.874

PAD. 680218.077 946335.465

PAD. 680243.244 946336.252